**DUE:** February 28, 2007, 4:30 pm

### APPLICATION COVER SHEET

## Iowa Department of Education Grimes State Office Building Des Moines, Iowa 50319

## Application for ELL Technology Resource Grant

Applying Four-Year Institution	
Mount Mercy College	
Program Director/Contact Person:	
Name Heather Stevens	
Title Assistant Professor of Education	
Address 1330 Elmhurst Dr. SE	
Cedar Rapids, IA 52402	
Telephone 319-363-8213 ext. 1217	
Fax	
E-Mail hstevens@mtmercy.edu	
Does this institution have an ESL endorsement program? no	
Consortium Community College Institution (if applicable)  Program Director/Contact Person:	
Name	
Title	
Address	
T 1 1	
Telephone	
Fax	MATTER 17 11 1
E-Mail	
Does this community college have an education program?	
	de value et en en

#### Statement of Assurances

Should a Technology Award be made to the applicant in support of the activities proposed in this application, the authorized signature on the cover page of this application certifies to the Iowa Department of Education that the authorized official will:

- 1. Upon request, provide the Iowa Department of Education with access to records and other sources of information that may be necessary to determine compliance with appropriate federal and state laws and regulations;
- 2. Use grant funds to supplement and not supplant funds from nonfederal sources.

The applicant certifies that to the best of his/her correct, that the filing of this application is duly organization, or institution, and that the applican assurances		
Applying Four-Year Institution):		
Buelane Daugherty Typed or Printed Name of Authorized Official	Vice President for Academic	Affairs
Typed or Printed Name of Authorized Official	Title	
Duelane Daughorg	2/22/07	
Signature of Authorized Official	Date	
Consortium Community College Institution	(if applicable):	
Typed or Printed Name of Authorized Official	Title	
Signature of Authorized Official	Date	

Certification by Authorized or Institutional Official:

Please submit to Mary Beth Schroeder Fracek, Iowa Department of Education, Grimes State Office Building, Des Moines, Iowa 50319-0146 by February 28, 2007, 4:30 p.m.

#### PROGRAM GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The Education Program at Mount Mercy College graduates between 40-60 students per year including both elementary and secondary majors. We currently have nine full-time faculty members in the Education division. As a small college, we do not have access to electronic opportunities found at larger colleges or universities.

We discuss diversity in many of our classes, and feel the video conferencing unit would be a wonderful asset. At this time, we plan to use this technology in two classes: ED 210 English Language Learner: Reading/Writing Tutor and ED 379 Human Relations. Diversity, multicultural instruction, and English Language Learners are the focus of both of these classes. Due to our location in Cedar Rapids, we do not have access to many schools with ELL programs. There are two elementary schools with such programs, and our students complete a 20 hour field experience for ED 210. However, students do not have the time to truly understand the needs of these ELL students and the best instructional methods to reach them. We feel the video conferencing unit would give our students more exposure to, knowledge of and application of the best instructional methods in Iowa.

We have included the Course Objectives for ED 210 and ED 379. The performance criteria have been changed to reflect the new opportunities available with the video conferencing units. The objectives that relate to this new technology are in bold.

These classes are offered in the fall, winter, spring, and Summer every year. We would implement these new requirements immediately, beginning in the fall 2007, or as soon as the technology was available. Yearly reports of the polycom's effectiveness can

be sent to the state upon request. The type of data that will be collected is included in the evaluation section.

#### ED 210 ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNER COURSE OBJECTIVES:

The student will:

- 1. Identify the stages of primary language development and second language acquisition. Performance criteria: 1) The student will identify stages of primary and secondary language acquisition. The student will apply this knowledge and give rationale for which stages students from the ELL classrooms are in.
- 2. Develop an understanding and appreciation of second language learning processes.

  \*Performance criteria: 1) The student will complete a case study report consisting of several components including a section that conveys his/her understanding of the progression of skill development made by English Language Learner in the language learning process. 2) The student will gain an appreciation for second language learners by developing a vocabulary word bank in a second language that highlights his/her second language learner's native tongue as well as include English vocabulary counterparts. 3) The student will orally present second language vocabulary from this word bank.
- 3. Develop skills to assess instructional needs of second language learners.

  \*Performance criteria: 1) The student will gain exposure to assessments used in different classrooms through the video conferencing units. They will journal about

assessments seen and which they may choose to administer. 2) In the field placement, the student will administer a variety of assessments, analyze results, and develop lesson plans that target the ELLs' instructional needs. 3) The student will complete a case study report that clearly communicates the relationship between ELL assessment results and instructional practices.

4. Review professional literature on programs or materials to determine which would be useful in bilingual and ELL settings.

Performance criteria: 1) The student will review professional literature and identify useful programs and strategies successful ELL programs use in working with ELLs. The student will use this knowledge of research-based strategies to reflect about those used in the ELL classrooms with video conferencing units.

5. Utilize research-based listening, speaking reading and writing strategies when working with English Language Learners.

Performance criteria: 1) The student will complete written lesson plans demonstrating his/her ability to incorporate research-based strategies in tutoring sessions with ELLs. By viewing multiple teachers with the polycoms, the students will see different strategies and be able to ask questions of each teacher. 2) The student will receive feedback from course instructor following tutoring observations in the field experience to evaluate his/her ability to implement research-based ELL strategies.

#### ED 379 HUMAN RELATIONS COURSE OBJECTIVES:

The student will:

1) Appreciate the role of human commonality as well as diversity in contemporary American society & schools

Performance criteria: 1) The student will discuss through written and verbal discourse at least two major tenets of multicultural education. Exposure to diverse classrooms will allow the students to see cultural and linguistic diversity in bilingual and ELL settings. 2) The student will respond in writing to at least three polycom observations or videos that address topics of diversity. 3) The student will attend one multicultural event outside of class and submit a written report with documentation of the event. The student's interactions with the classroom teachers may lead to increased opportunities to attend special events that highlight cultural and linguistic histories of diverse populations.

4) The student will conduct an interview with an individual from a school and document the language programs for ELLs in those settings. The polycoms will expand the pool of teachers that the student can choose to interview. Cross-cultural communication is stressed in this interview.

#### **EVALUATION:**

In both classes, the students will be formatively evaluated with assignment rubrics, reflective papers and journal entries, mid-term course evaluations, and discussions of research-based techniques in the polycom classrooms. At the end of each semester, students will complete a summative class evaluation and a lichert survey of the

polycom's effectiveness. Since the polycom will be integrated into the class, students' comments on its effectiveness will be documented from the final evaluation of each class. In addition, the lichert survey will give numeric data specific to the video conferencing unit. Samples of current rubrics, the midterm evaluation, and the final evaluation for both courses are enclosed.

### **SUSTAINABILITY**

This project will naturally enrich the projects we have been requiring of our students in these two classes. We are able to incorporate the polycoms without any major changes. I have spoken with our IT department and they verified that we will be able to meet all the technology needs and supports. Currently, we can support most of the needs and are planning for the rest to begin next year. I have also received the support of the Education Division Chair, Ellen O'Keefe, and the Interim Dean of the College, Buelane Daugherty. They agree to maintain the project in upcoming years.

# ED 379 Interview Grading Scale (25)

		(23)		
Content				
	5	1	2	0
interview focused		multicultural issue		topic of interview
on multicultural issue		vague, few connections		was not multicultural
& connections were ma		made, questions asked		w/no reference made to
to what was learned in		yielded moderate insight		what was learned in
with questions asked d		or information on the issu	ie	class and/or no questions
interview attached to p	aper			attached to final paper
Clarity of Thou	ghts			
		,	3	
message focused &		message broadly		no coherent
effectively conveyed		conveyed or		message
w/no gaps or		lacked structure		decipherable
redundancies		and/or flow of ideas		•
	message suffic	iently	messag	e unclear
	conveyed w/ or	ccasional		any gaps
	gaps/redundand	cies	& defic	
Organization of	f Danar			
			3	0
Written in narrative for		Narrative contained		Did not follow
				narrative format;
w/some quotes interspe		too many quotes,		little to no synthesis
from course text, article the interviewee, etc wi		lengthy quotes, and/or unrelated quotes with		of interviewee's
		moderate synthesis of		ideas
effective synthesis of the interviewee's ideas	ie	interviewee's ideas		igeas
interviewee's ideas		interviewee's ideas		
Mechanics				
5	<b></b> 4			
faultless spelling,		some errors in grammar		content incoherent
punctuation,		suggest misconceptions		due to poor grammar,
and/or grammar		in writer's intended		spelling, and/or
errors		meaning, inappropriate		punctuation
		use of vocabulary, some	•	
		misspellings		
	good use of			glaring
	grammar w/s			in grammar,
	lapses in spe		spellin	
	or punctuation	on	punctu	ation
Comments:				

Name _	
	ED 379 Sustained Silent Writings Grading Scale (10)
	_ Writing address the questions/issues posed (3)
	_ Evidence of substantive analysis, reflection, and insight (3)  (Raises important questions or makes statements that indicate careful consideration of ideas presented)
	_ Uses substantive examples or pertinent details where appropriate (2)
	_ makes substantive and explicit link(s) to course readings, lectures, films, and/or class discussions, etc. (2)
omments:_	

Midterm Course Evaluation

In order for your comments to remain anonymous, I am requesting that you type these prompts and your responses to then on your word processor and submit them the next time our class meets. Your constructive comments help me readjust aspects of the course

bett	er meet your needs. Thank You!
1.	Quality of texts & related readings for this class:
2.	Quality of technology and its application to this class:
3.	Workload for this class:
4	Variety/quality/usefulness of assignments & in-class work:
5	Instructor's comments on assignments & in class work:
6.	Instructor's concern for you as a student/person:
7.	List a few things you like about the class:
8.	List a few things you'd like to change about the class that would help you learn better:

#### STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHER EFFECTIVENESS

#### **Instructions:**

Please take time and care in filling out this evaluation form. Your input will be used for faculty improvement and in faculty promotion and tenure decisions. This evaluation will not be read until after grades are turned in to the Registrar's Office. The forms are returned to the Academic Dean's Office and are reviewed by the Department Chairperson and the Dean.

Please respond to all items. In the blank preceding the statement place the number that most closely corresponds to you response to the statement. Write your comments on the form; feel free to elaborate, using the back sheet if necessary. Your comments are especially helpful in improving teacher effectiveness

Name of In	Name of Instructor Course Number & Title		le	
Semester/T		Year		
	= Almost Always 2 = Frequently tructor/class	3 = Occasionally	4 = Hardly Ever	N = Does not apply
SECTION	I A			
1	e Structure and Evaluation The instructor conducts the course in The syllabus articulates the course go. The instructor uses appropriate metho The instructor provides the feedback to goals s or examples in these areas:	als, requirements, a ds to evaluate my l	and grading system level of achieveme	nt of the course goals
	er Effectiveness			
5	The teaching methods and learning st	rategies used by th	e instructor help m	ne achieve the course
goals 6	The instructor effectively uses class t	ime to achieve the	course goals	
	The instructor seeks feedback regards			egies utilized in the
course		J	3	J
	The instructor models effective comm			
9.	The instructional materials (e.g., book	ks, videos, etc ) hel	lped me achieve the	e course goals
Comments	s or examples in these areas:			

9

The Critical Ininking
10. The instructor encourages me to think for myself.
11 The instructor enables students to express their point of view.  12. The instructor encourages students to communicate ideas clearly.
13. The instructor encourages students to ask questions.
Comments or examples in these areas:
<ul> <li>IV. Student-Instructor Relationship</li> <li>14 The instructor shows a genuine interest in helping students achieve the goals of the course.</li> <li>15 The instructor is fair and impartial in his/her dealing with me.</li> </ul>
The instructor is fair and impartial in instruct dealing with the.  The instructor is willing and available to work with me outside of class time to help me achieve
the course goals
Comments or examples in these areas:
SECTION D
SECTION B
Please write a statement in which you describe specifically what you think the instructor does well to facilitate your learning in this course.
What specifically could the instructor do differently to facilitate your learning?
SECTION C
Please place the number on the blank preceding the statement which most accurately rates you in each area
listed below.
Use the following scale:
1 = Outstanding 2 = Good 3 = Fair 4 = Poor 5 = Very Poor
6 = No response
My interest in the material presented in the course.  My effort in preparing the assignments for this course  My attitude toward obtaining knowledge in this field.  The grade I expect in this course. (Use A, B, C, D, F, or Pass)  Comments or examples in these areas: